

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

f. ~

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/820,131	04/08/2004	Isao Kameyama	05266.0063	8642
22852	7590 10/14/2005		EXAMINER	
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			PAUMEN, GARY F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2833	
			DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/820,131	KAMEYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary F Paumen	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 October 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 11-26 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 27 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers	,					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 April 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2833

The finality of the last Office action is hereby withdrawn. Following is an action on the merits. The amendment filed October 7, 2005 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-19 and 22-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-334748 in view of Lemke et al 6692272 (Figure 6).

JP '748 substantially discloses the claimed invention, including a cable connector (Figure 3) having a metal connector housing surrounding an insulative retainer having terminal sets, and (Figure 7) a circuit board connector having a metal connector housing surrounding an insulative retainer having terminal sets. JP '748, however, does not disclose the claimed terminal arrangement. Lemke et al disloses:

Claims 11,12, 13, 14 and 16: terminals S5+, S5-, and the G terminals directly below them, and S6+, S6-, and the G terminals directly below them.

Claims 11, 13 and 15: terminals S5+, S5-, and the G terminals directly below them, and S7+, S7-, and the G terminals directly above them.

Claims 11, 17 and 18: terminals S4+, S4-, and the G terminals immediately adjacent either side thereof in the same row.

It would have been obvious to arrange the terminals of JP '748 as taught by Lemke et al, to prevent crosstalk.

Art Unit: 2833

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2002-334748 in view of Lemke et al as applied to claims 11-19 and 22-26 above, and further in view of Zhang et al 6736676.

JP '748 as modified by Lemke et al substantially discloses the claimed invention except for the terminals being embedded in the retainer. Zhang et al discloses retainer bodies 20, 30, 40 having terminals embedded therein, the retainer bodies being received in surrounding housing 10. It thus would have been obvious to embed the terminals of JP '748 in the retainer, to prevent inadvertent movement/removal of the terminals

Claim 27 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the prior art of record, particularly the applied art, discloses or teaches the terminals as having a cylindrical barrel.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 11-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2833

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary F Paumen whose telephone number is 571-272-2013. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula Bradley can be reached on 571-272-2800, ext. 33. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gfp

GARY F. PAUNER